



THE UNIVERSITY OF  
**TENNESSEE**  
KNOXVILLE

August 16, 2018

In 2017, UT Knoxville established the Alcohol Policy Task Force to consider whether the university's alcohol policy should be revised.

The task force was charged with reviewing and analyzing UT's current alcohol policy, and recommending changes to the policy based on their findings if deemed in the best interest of the university community.

The task force met regularly throughout the 2017–18 academic year and submitted their final recommendations to me over the summer. I shared their report with Interim Chancellor Wayne Davis and Institute of Agriculture Chancellor Tim Cross. Both chancellors have in turn shared the report with their executive teams for review and consideration.

The Alcohol Policy Task Force Report is available for review on the task force website: <http://alcoholtaskforce.utk.edu>.

In the coming months, the chancellor's cabinet will complete its review of the report and determine which recommendations should be forwarded to the UT System president for further consideration. The cabinet will take many factors into consideration, the greatest of which will be the safety and well-being of our campus community. If approved, the final step will be to convene an implementation team to determine how to execute the new policy safely, efficiently, and effectively.

I'd like to thank the task force members who have dedicated significant thought, effort, and time during this process.

Sincerely,

Vincent Carilli, Ph.D.  
Vice Chancellor for Student Life

Office of the Vice Chancellor for Student Life  
515 Andy Holt Tower Knoxville, TN 37996-0184  
865-974-7449 studentlife.utk.edu

**BIG ORANGE. BIG IDEAS.**

Flagship Campus of the University of Tennessee System

# Recommendations of the Alcohol Policy Task Force

UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE, KNOXVILLE

JULY 2018



## **I. Introduction**

In the fall of 2017, the University of Tennessee Knoxville Alcohol Policy Task Force (APTF or “the Task Force”) held its first meeting. Within the past several years, there have been myriad conversations concerning the University’s alcohol policy with both campus and community partners. The Alcohol Policy Task Force was created to provide an opportunity for broad input on the University’s alcohol policy, with the intention of the Task Force providing recommendations to improve the alcohol policy in relation to best practices, safety, and overall campus environment. See Appendix A for a full description of the APTF Charge and process.

## **II. Background:**

The APTF was convened in response to several factors:

First, students have been petitioning the University administration to reassess the University’s alcohol policy for several years. This includes the Student Government Association (SGA) and the Greek community. The students’ arguments are grounded in their concerns for student safety and fairness. SGA’s 2016 “Proposed Alcohol Policy” asserts that “the current policy encourages consumption of alcohol at off-campus sites. Getting to these sites can come with negative side effects, such as drunk driving and walking alone at night in unsafe areas” (Student Government Association 2016, 2). They also call current policy “antiquated and targeted towards students that are under twenty-one” (Student Government Association 2016, 2). Further, SGA argued that it is unfair that graduate students of legal drinking age are prohibited from drinking at University-sponsored events and that nine peer SEC institutions allow lawful student consumption of alcohol. See Appendix B for the full 2016 SGA policy proposal.

In the same document, SGA presented the results of a survey of students they conducted in 2015, which indicated significant dissatisfaction with current University alcohol policy. In response to these survey results, SGA proposed policy revisions in 2016 that included allowing any person over the age of 21 to purchase, possess, or consume alcohol in designated locations on campus (including residence halls and fraternity and sorority houses), banning common source containers of alcohol\*, and requiring any event sponsored by a University entity to register with the Division of Student Life. Students from the Greek Life community have also petitioned the University administration to reconsider current alcohol policy in the interest of student safety.

Second, faculty and staff have expressed that current policies negatively impact their ability to conduct University business, such as honoring special guests, hosting recognition events for faculty and staff, and remaining competitive with other institutions in attracting prospective graduate students. This is because current alcohol policy makes it very difficult, and at times impossible, to lawfully serve alcohol on campus.

Third, faculty in departments such as Food Science, Hospitality, and Psychology have expressed that teaching and conducting research involving alcohol is severely hampered, if not impossible,

---

\*“Common source containers of alcohol” or “common source alcohol” are kegs or containers containing alcoholic beverages (garbage cans, bathtubs, coolers) that are pre-made and frequently served at parties.

due to the current onerous restrictions on the possession, transportation, and storage of alcohol on campus.

Finally, the question has been raised of what opportunities might exist for generating revenue for the University if the sale of alcoholic beverages were allowed at non-athletic events. As will be discussed in Section IV of this report, allowing the sale of alcohol at campus performance and entertainment venues has the potential to generate revenue for the University, as well as have a positive impact on the greater Knoxville economy due to the ability to attract more renowned performers to the University's performance venues.

In response to these conversations, Dr. Beverly Davenport established the Alcohol Policy Task Force to review current policy and to make recommendations to the Vice Chancellor for Student Life (VCSL). The VCSL would then present those recommendations to the Chancellor's Cabinet for consideration. It should be noted that the Task Force was convened to make recommendations on the University's alcohol policy, not to consider or determine how their recommended policy would be implemented or enforced.

### **III. Membership:**

Individuals representing a variety of campus and community interests were invited to join the Task Force. Bart L. Graham, a UT Alumnus ('82, MBA '84) and former Revenue Commissioner for the State of Georgia, was selected to chair the Task Force. Remaining members represented the following Departments and groups:

- UT Institute of Agriculture (UTIA)
- UTK Division of Student Life
- Center for Health Education and Wellness
- Center for Sensory Science, Department of Food Science, UTIA
- Faculty Senate
- Department of Psychology
- Department of Retail, Hospitality, and Tourism Management
- College of Architecture & Design
- Alumni
- Student Government Association
- Graduate Student Senate
- Knoxville community

Names of members are listed in Appendix C.

### **IV. Research and Expert Advisement**

To inform their recommendations, the Task Force researched and reviewed current alcohol policies at peer and aspirant institutions. Institutional policies reviewed include those of: University of Alabama, University of Arkansas, Auburn University, University of Florida, University of Georgia, University of Kentucky, Louisiana State University, Mississippi State

University, University of Mississippi, University of Missouri, University of South Carolina, Texas A&M University, the U.S. Air Force Academy, and Vanderbilt University.

The Task Force reviewed best practices for alcohol interventions on college campuses presented in peer-reviewed research and by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. The Task Force also met with several specialists from the University for their input about the current alcohol policy and potential changes to it, including the UT Police Department, Office of Title IX, and the General Counsel.

In addition, the Task Force heard from a representative of a Knoxville-based music promotion company, who expressed interest in the work of the APTF. In a chance meeting, the representative expressed that the inability to serve alcohol at concerts in the Thompson-Boling Arena has deterred notable performers from coming to Knoxville. He believed that there would be a benefit for the Knoxville community in permitting the sale of alcohol at Thompson-Boling Arena, as it would attract more renowned performers, which would in turn attract more visitors to Knoxville. Further, he indicated this could generate revenue for the University, as the operator of a performance venue typically shares the proceeds from the sale of alcohol.

## V. Public Survey

On February 5, 2018, the APTF distributed a survey asking the University community and stakeholders for input on current alcohol policy and potential changes to the policy. The survey received 4,053 completed responses. The results indicated:

- 76% of respondents disagreed with the University's current alcohol policy;
- 88% of respondents felt that the alcohol policy should be revised to be **less** restrictive;
- 7.9% of respondents felt that the policy should be revised to be **more** restrictive;
- 7.4% of respondents felt the policy should not be changed.

The full quantitative results are available in Appendix D.

## VI. Recommendations

In light of the aforementioned considerations, the Alcohol Policy Task Force recommends that:

**Recommendation # 1:** The University of Tennessee Knoxville adopt a policy in which the distribution, possession, and consumption of alcohol by individuals aged 21 or over is allowed at pre-approved, registered on-campus events, within the parameters of all state, federal, and local laws.

**Justification:** The Task Force has concluded that by allowing the distribution, possession, and consumption of alcohol on campus at registered events, the University can have better control over the distribution and consumption of alcohol on campus, creating a safer environment in which there are fewer instances of drinking taking place at unsanctioned events and off-campus, and in which the University can monitor the safe, moderated consumption of alcohol by those of *legal drinking age* who wish to drink. It also enables University law enforcement and staff to focus additional attention on incidences of underage drinking. Further, this policy

will enable faculty and staff to host events where alcohol is served to help recruit new employees and graduate students, to honor special guests, and to recognize accomplishments of their department. Finally, this policy creates the opportunity for the University to generate revenue from the sale of alcohol at certain events, if desired and deemed in the best interest of the University.

**Recommendation # 2:** Under this policy, all individuals aged 21 and over, including University students, should be permitted to consume alcohol at approved events.

**Justification:** This policy should not bar students of legal drinking age from consuming alcohol at approved on-campus events. The Task Force concluded that University alcohol policy should adhere to the federal, state, and local laws that govern who may possess, distribute, or consume alcohol. A policy that allows the lawful consumption of alcohol on campus by visitors, faculty, and staff, but then prohibits all students, regardless of their age, from the consumption or possession of alcohol would create new problems rather than solve them. Again, this will enable University law enforcement and officials to direct their focus on addressing underage drinking.

**Recommendation # 3:** Beer and wine are the only types of alcohol that should be allowed to be served at University-sanctioned events. Common source alcohol\* and liquor should be strictly prohibited.

**Justification:** The Task Force concluded that the potential benefits of allowing the consumption, possession, and distribution of alcohol on campus could be met by allowing beer and wine, and that there was no added benefit to allowing liquor. Further, allowing liquor would potentially lead to undesirable outcomes. Common source containers of alcohol are widely considered dangerous and add no benefit to the campus community.

**Recommendation # 4:** Alcohol served and/or consumed on campus must be sold and/or served by licensed vendors and Tennessee Alcoholic Beverage Commission-licensed servers.

**Justification:** In order to ensure that alcohol is distributed and/or sold within the parameters of the law and University policy, only licensed vendors may provide alcohol for University-approved events and only Tennessee Alcoholic Beverage Commission-licensed servers may serve alcohol.

**Recommendation # 5:** Requests and approval for permission to serve alcohol at an on-campus event should be subject to differing levels of review and approval depending on the frequency, nature, time, location, and host of the event.

**Justification:** The Task Force considered numerous potential users and audiences for this policy, including: student organizations, faculty and staff, and contracted vendors at

---

\* See definition on Page 1

performance and event venues such as Clarence Brown Theater, Sandra Powell Recital Hall, Neyland Stadium, and Thompson-Boling Arena. Noting the wide variety of potential circumstances, the Task Force felt that different levels of review should be enacted for different audiences and event features. For example, if a single vendor will serve at an event or performance venue on numerous occasions throughout the year, an annual contract could be the most efficient way to implement this process. Allowing senior executives (e.g., Deans, Vice Chancellors, or their designees) to approve University faculty or staff events would decrease the workload of University departments who would typically manage the approval process for serving alcohol at events. The Task Force felt that student events should be subject to additional scrutiny and review as the University must take all precautions to ensure that no event is unsafe for students or attendees and that underage students and individuals cannot obtain alcohol at these events.

**Recommendation # 6:** The University may enact additional requirements at its discretion, for example requiring the presence of Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST)-certified officers or hired security at the expense of the event host for events with a certain number of expected attendees or at dates or times that are deemed appropriate or necessary.

**Justification:** The Task Force recognizes that if these recommendations are enacted as policy, there are needs and requirements that will become apparent only at the time of implementation. As such, the Task Force recommends that the policy include provisions that allow the University to add additional stipulations to the policy for the safety and well-being of the campus community as needed.

**Recommendation # 7:** If the University generates revenue from the sale of alcohol, that revenue should be apportioned to offsetting costs incurred due to allowing the possession, distribution, and consumption of alcohol on campus, e.g., increased law enforcement and facilities needs, as well as to expand risk management education for students.

**Justification:** As it is possible that there would be additional costs to the University associated with implementing and maintaining the recommendations set forth in this report, the Task Force recommends that revenue generated by the sale of alcohol be used to offset those costs. The Task Force also concluded that providing risk management education is an important part of any alcohol policy on a University campus and should be explicitly recommended as part of this report.

**Recommendation # 8:** A separate set of provisions should be developed to allow for the use of alcohol on campus for research and educational purposes, which minimizes the burden related to storage, transportation, and consumption of alcohol, and allows for the possession and use of liquor.

- a. These standards should defer to the Institutional Review Board (IRB) to determine if a proposed study involving alcohol meets the requirements to constitute research and minimize harm to participants.
- b. Such instances should be limited to only the necessary level of consumption for educational or research purposes (IRB evaluates this in its approval process).

- c. If this recommendation is enacted, an implementation team should consider whether IRB approval would be sufficient, or if further approval by Deans or their designated representatives would be required.

**Justification:** The Task Force concluded that rules regarding alcohol for research and academic purposes should not fall under the same rules for recreational or social uses. There are many benefits to allowing academic research and education that involve alcohol, including, but not limited to, providing research to understand the public health and safety impacts of alcohol consumption and supporting the growing craft alcohol industry in Tennessee, which currently contributes more than \$1 billion to the state's economy. Thus, the Task Force recommends that the regulation of alcohol in the context of academic research and teaching environments should be evaluated given the specific needs, risks, and concerns of those contexts.

**Recommendation # 9:** If at any time the University creates housing that is specifically designated for 21 and over, married, or graduate students, the Task Force recommends the University further evaluate whether or not to allow the possession of alcohol for personal consumption in these spaces if all residents are 21 and over.

**Justification:** The Task Force concluded that because most residence halls currently house both underage and of-age students, it is not desirable to allow alcohol in any residential buildings. However, if the University ever establishes residential areas that are limited to students of legal drinking age, then the University should consider whether the possession and consumption of alcohol in those residence halls should be allowed.

**Recommendation #10:** If any or all of the above recommendations are approved and adopted as University policy, the Task Force recommends that a new group be impaneled to oversee implementation of the new policy and to ensure its compliance with federal, state, and local laws and regulations.

**Justification:** To adopt the policies recommended in this document, the University will need to consider and implement procedural changes to review and approve event requests, to ensure enforcement of the new policy, and to conduct outreach and education about the new policy, to name just a few of the steps. This process will likely require a different set of skills and numerous campus partners and entities to weigh in and collaborate to successfully implement the changes.

## **VII. Conclusion**

Over eight months of review and discussion, the Alcohol Policy Task Force has sought and examined input from various sources, including scholarly research, public safety officials, subject matter experts, student groups, the University community, and policies of peer and aspirant institutions. At the conclusion of the review and deliberation of these materials, the members of the Task Force unanimously agreed that it would be in the best interest of the University to revise the current alcohol policy to allow the lawful possession, consumption, and



distribution of alcohol on campus at specially permitted, registered events. This conclusion was made from the perspective of student safety and well-being, staff and faculty business practices, graduate student recruitment, and academic research and education involving alcohol.

As indicated in Recommendation #10, if the recommendations in this report are adopted as University policy, an implementation team will need to be impaneled to ensure that the changes are implemented in a manner that can successfully meet the goals of the revised policy and with all operational needs addressed.

### **VIII. References**

Student Government Association. 2016. "University of Tennessee SGA Alcohol Policy Review Committee Proposed Alcohol Policy." University of Tennessee, Knoxville.

## Appendix A: Alcohol Policy Task Force Charge



## **Alcohol Policy Task Force Charge**

### **I. Introduction**

The University of Tennessee, Knoxville's Alcohol Policy Task Force is intended to provide feedback on the current alcohol policy at the University, which states:

University regulations prohibit all student organizations from serving or permitting the consumption, possession, or display of any alcoholic beverage or containers at any time, or by anyone on university premises. Student organization officers are responsible for refusing admission to their social gatherings of persons under the influence of alcoholic beverages. Student organizations sponsoring any social affair are responsible for its general decorum.

The Student Code of Conduct prohibits students and student organizations from consuming, manufacturing, possessing, distributing, dispensing, selling, or being under the influence of alcoholic beverages on University-controlled property or in connection with a University-affiliated activity.

Source: *Hilltopics, Campus Policy & Procedures*

The Standards of Conduct (in the current Code of Conduct) related to Alcohol state:

**SECTION 4.18 ALCOHOL RELATED CONDUCT– UNIVERSITY PROPERTY OR UNIVERSITY ACTIVITIES.** Consuming, manufacturing, possessing, distributing, dispensing, selling, or being under the influence of alcoholic beverages on University-controlled property or in connection with a University-affiliated activity unless expressly permitted by University policy.

**SECTION 4.19 ALCOHOL RELATED CONDUCT PROHIBITED BY LAW.** Consuming, manufacturing, possessing, distributing, dispensing, selling, or being under the influence of alcoholic beverages, if prohibited by federal, state, or local law.

**SECTION 4.20 PROVIDING ALCOHOL TO UNDERAGE PERSON.** Providing an alcoholic beverage to a person younger than twenty-one (21) years of age, unless permitted by law.

Source: *Hilltopics, Student Code of Conduct*

Within the past several years, there have been myriad conversations concerning the University's alcohol policy with both campus and community partners. The Alcohol Policy Task Force was created to provide an opportunity for broad input on the University's alcohol policy, with the intention of the task force providing recommendations to improve the alcohol policy in relation to best practices, safety, and overall campus environment. The recommendations of the Alcohol Policy Task Force will be provided to the Vice Chancellor for Student Life, who in turn, will provide the information to the Chancellor's Senior Leadership Team at the University of Tennessee, Knoxville (UTK) for review, consideration and possible adoption.

## **II. Charge\***

The UTK Alcohol Policy Task Force is charged with the following:

1. Review and analyze current alcohol policies and procedures at the University of Tennessee, Knoxville;
2. Review and evaluate peer and aspirant institution alcohol policies and procedures;
3. Identify best practices for alcohol policies;
4. Conduct interviews/hearings/listening sessions to gather feedback and information from impacted groups and individuals;
5. Host an open forum, where individuals can provide feedback, express support or concern, and present information on the impact of a potential change in policy; and,
6. Based on the understanding of the campus community feedback and input, environmental factors, legal limitations, and potential impact of any policy change, the task force will develop recommendations for change in the campus alcohol policy.

\* It should be noted that the Alcohol Policy Task Force is not responsible for developing an implementation plan for any potential changes in University policy.

## **III. Membership**

The membership of the Alcohol Policy Task Force will be appointed by the Vice Chancellor for Student Life in consultation with the following constituency groups:

1. Students;
2. Faculty;
3. Academic Deans;
4. Staff;
5. Alumni;
6. Community Members; and,
7. Administrators.

At the time of appointment, the Vice Chancellor for Student Life will also name an above listed appointee as chairperson of the Task Force.

## **IV. Process**

In order to accomplish the above charge, the Alcohol Policy Task Force will follow the process below to evaluate the current alcohol policy at UTK.

1. Solicit written feedback on the existing alcohol policy from individuals across campus. This written feedback should include: the individual's thoughts on the current policy, proposed changes to the current policy, and any potential impacts (positive or negative) of the proposed changes;
2. Following the public comment period, the task force will review submitted comments;
3. In addition to the opportunity for the provision of written feedback, there will be an opportunity for verbal commenting. The task force will be responsible for conducting a listening session(s) on campus, which will include meeting with various individuals, groups, and organizations to discuss the alcohol policy and proposed changes. An open forum will also be conducted to allow for any interested individuals to provide feedback; and,
4. Upon consideration of both written and verbal feedback, research, and benchmarking, the Task Force will draft a report that includes any recommendations concerning the campus alcohol policy. This report should include a brief summary of the feedback gathered, recommendations for consideration, and a summary of the potential impact of the recommendations presented in the report.

In an effort to be informative and transparent throughout the process, a website will be created for individuals to submit comments and information as well as to receive Task Force updates.

## **V. Timeline**

The Alcohol Policy Task Force will meet as often as deemed necessary to accomplish the purpose outlined above. The final report of the Task Force will be released publicly and will be accessible to the University community via the aforementioned website.

Last Updated: October 2, 2017

## Appendix B: 2016 SGA Proposed Alcohol Policy



The University of Tennessee SGA Alcohol Policy Review Committee  
Proposed Alcohol Policy

**I. Background**

The Student Code of Conduct “creates a standard by which students are expected to conduct themselves that is consistent with the educational goals of the university.”<sup>1</sup> In regards to alcohol, the following are violations of students’ and student organizations’ standards of conduct as stated in *Hilltopics*:

- (18) Consuming, manufacturing, possessing, distributing, dispensing, selling, or being under the influence of alcoholic beverages on University-controlled property or in connection with a University-affiliated activity.
- (19) Consuming, manufacturing, possessing, distributing, dispensing, selling, or being under the influence of alcoholic beverages, if prohibited by federal, state, or local law.
- (20) Providing an alcoholic beverage to a person younger than twenty-one (21) years of age, unless permitted by law.

In a Student Government Association survey from 10/19/2015 to 11/02/2015, the following survey question along with the current alcohol policy was posed to the student body:

*“Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the University of Tennessee’s alcohol policy...”*

The survey results are indicated below:

- **15.03%** (832) Very satisfied with the alcohol policy;
- **11.67%** (646) Somewhat satisfied with the alcohol policy;
- **18.13%** (1004) Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied with the alcohol policy;
- **15.17%** (870) Somewhat dissatisfied with the alcohol policy;
- **39.46%** (2185) Very dissatisfied with the alcohol policy.

---

<sup>1</sup> Office of the Vice Chancellor for Student Life. *Hilltopics*. Tennessee: The University of Tennessee, 2015. Print.

Also stated in *Hilltopics*, the following regards campus policies and alcohol on campus:

“University regulations prohibit all student organizations from serving or permitting the consumption, possession, or display of any alcoholic beverage or containers at any time, or by anyone on university premises. Student organization officers are responsible for refusing admission to their social gatherings of persons under the influence of alcoholic beverages. Student organizations sponsoring any social affair are responsible for its general decorum.”

Through reviewing peer institutions’ alcohol policies, student feedback, and research on college student consumption of alcohol, the SGA Alcohol Policy Review Committee sees a need to change The University of Tennessee’s current policy in order to promote safe and lawful consumption of alcohol.

## II. Introduction

The National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism has published the following annual statistics in regards to college drinking.<sup>2</sup>

- Nearly **40%** of college students aged 18-22 have participated in binge drinking in the past month.
- **1,825** college aged students *die* from unintentional alcohol-related injuries.
- **696,000** college students are *assaulted* by another student who has been drinking.
- **97,000** college students have reported alcohol-related *sexual assault*.
- Around **25%** of college students have reported *academic problems* associated with their drinking.
- **3,360,000** college students drive while under the influence of alcohol.

Lessening alcohol misuse on college campuses should be a priority to a university given numerous negative academic and social side effects of unlawful and/or overconsumption of alcohol. Research has shown that effective policies can include social marketing and social norms campaigns.<sup>3</sup> Proven as an effective policy in reducing heavy alcohol consumption is providing information regarding alcohol use facts as well as true, contrasting student perception, drinking habits on campus..

While these programming actions can be taken to promote safe, lawful drinking on campus, the college campus environment also has an impact on behavior. It has been many years since UT’s current Student Code of Conduct was implemented, and constructing a policy cognizant of

---

<sup>2</sup> National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. *College Drinking: Fact Sheet*. Maryland: 2015. Print.

<sup>3</sup> National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. *What Colleges Need to Know Now: An Update on College Drinking Research*. Maryland: 2007. Print.



changes in the campus environment over years is suggested by research as a productive means in promoting safe drinking. <sup>4</sup> Categories of environmental policies consider areas on and off University property that students would drink, alcohol-free activities offered, and prevention methods of mass alcohol delivery.

According to the The University of Tennessee Police Department, the university has seen an increase in alcohol related offenses in the past five years. This fact, along with expressed disagreement by students with the current policy, brings need to search for an optimal policy that acknowledges and fosters the university's educational goals while developing students. The following are expressed concerns from the students that yields issue with the current policy:

- Graduate students are not permitted to drink on campus or at school-sponsored functions. These students' ages vary, but it is common knowledge that most are well above the legal drinking age, and should be trusted to act appropriately in situations involving alcohol. Particularly, these situations may include department or college ceremonies, and it is uncomfortable for an older graduate student to not be able to consume alcohol when someone else in attendance may be their same age and permitted to consume alcohol.
- Students feel that attention of UTPD is to enforce The Student Code of Conduct opposed to promoting a safe environment for all. The current policy encourages consumption of alcohol at off-campus sites. Getting to these sites can come with negative side effects, such as drunk driving and walking alone at night in unsafe areas.
- Students find the current policy to be antiquated and targeted towards students that are under twenty-one. Students being unable to lawfully drink on campus while alumni, visitors, etc. are permitted to do so is a frustration as it does not acknowledge and accept the legal age of students.
- While policies vary in restrictions at peer institutions in the SEC, lawful student consumption of alcohol is permitted at nine SEC institutions and at the two SEC institutions that are ranked within the top 25 of public institutions. Many of these policies further consider how to promote safe and lawful drinking through environmental policies.

### **III. Policy Recommendation**

The SGA Alcohol Policy Review committee recommends a new alcohol policy that promotes student safety through lawful yet constrained consumption. The following is a proposed policy in which some areas will need to be expanded upon in further conversation with campus partners. These areas are denoted within the policy proposal in italics.

---

<sup>4</sup> Cremeens, Jennifer L., et al. "Assessment of Campus Alcohol Policies: Implications for College Administrators." *American Journal of Health Studies* 28.1 (2013). Web.

The University of Tennessee Alcohol Policy is consistent with the educational and non-punitive philosophy governing the Student Code of Conduct. The Code emphasizes personal responsibility and is intended to facilitate individual student growth and development. Further, it is the policy of the University of Tennessee to maintain a safe and healthful environment for its students, faculty, and staff.

The possession, use, sale, distribution, or manufacture of alcohol by University of Tennessee students may be done only in accordance with the provisions of federal and state laws, local laws and ordinances, and University regulations, including this policy. This policy applies to every function or event, including but not limited to receptions, dinners, banquets, and campus-wide activities sponsored by organizations or individuals associated with the University of Tennessee.

A. General Guidelines for the University Community

- (1) No person under the age of 21 may purchase, possess, or consume any alcoholic beverages anywhere on the University of Tennessee campus.
- (2) Persons of any age may not sell or give any alcoholic beverage to a person under 21 years of age.
- (3) It is unlawful and a violation of University Policy to use or possess identification that makes an individual appear older or misrepresents an individual as someone else.
- (4) Common source containers of alcohol (e.g, kegs) are not permitted on the University of Tennessee campus at any time.
- (5) Persons and their guests 21 years of age and older may possess and consume alcoholic beverages in designated areas on campus in a safe and responsible manner.
  - (a) Designated areas include private rooms/apartments of on-campus residences (including fraternity and sorority houses).
  - (b) All other areas require permission for the serving, possession, and consumption of alcohol. The Department of Student Life houses the form for the Site Permit request and is responsible for approving all requests. (*See University of South Carolina's Alcohol Event Registration Form as inspiration for UT's own registration form for non student organization event requests.*<sup>5</sup>)
- (6) All events sponsored by an entity that involves alcoholic beverages in University owned or controlled facilities must be registered through the the Department of Student Life. Alcohol is prohibited from being present

---

<sup>5</sup> Link: <https://www.sa.sc.edu/sape/files/2011/03/AlcoholEventApprovalForm.pdf>

at any new member event of any recognized campus organization. *(The same form mentioned above suffices as event registration for non-student organizations' requests. Further, the SGA Alcohol Policy Review Committee recommends student organization social event registration policies to reflect the University of Arkansas's Policies for Events in Greek Houses.<sup>6</sup>)*

- (7) No person, organization, or corporation may sell any kind of alcoholic beverage on the campus of the University, unless there is an alcohol permit to do so.
- B. Students and Student Organizations must adhere to the Student Code of Conduct and the General Guidelines for the University Community as well as all Federal, State, and local laws and ordinances. Further, faculty, staff, administrators, and University guests must abide by the General Guidelines for the University Community and all pertinent laws and ordinances.
  - C. Promotion of events that promote or allude to alcohol consumption or pricing are prohibited in all campus publications and media. Material posted or distributed may not glorify or promote the use or sale of alcohol; brand names and trademarks may not be displayed.

---

<sup>6</sup> Link: <http://uagreeks.uark.edu/on-campus-event-form-2016.pdf>

## Appendix C: Alcohol Policy Task Force Membership



## Alcohol Policy Task Force Membership

<b>Name</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Title &amp; Affiliation</b>
Bart Graham (Chair)	Alumnus	BA '82, MBA '84
Curtis Lockett	Faculty	Director, Center for Sensory Science Assistant Professor, Department of Food Science, UT Institute of Agriculture
Scott Poole	Faculty	Dean, Professor, College of Architecture and Design
Greg Stuart	Faculty	Faculty Senate Representative Professor, Department of Psychology
James Williams	Faculty	Assistant Professor, Department of Retail, Hospitality, and Tourism Management
Rebecca Juarez	Staff	Wellness Coordinator, Alcohol and Other Drugs, Center for Health Education & Wellness
Carrera Romanini	Staff	UT Institute of Agriculture Representative
Beach Galloway	Student	Student At Large
Morgan Hartgrove	Student	President, Student Government Association (2017-2018)
Carson Hollingsworth	Student	Graduate Student Senate Representative (2017- 2018)
Hunter Jones	Student	Director of Student Services, Student Government Association (2017-2018)
Alicia Lambert	Alumnus, Community Member	BS '01 Lambert Leadership Group
Vincent Carilli (Ex Officio)	Administra- tion	Vice Chancellor for Student Life
Melissa Goldberg (Ex Officio)	Staff	Special Projects Coordinator Office of the Vice Chancellor for Student Life


Appendix D: Results of the Public Survey conducted  
February 2018, broken down by participants' University  
affiliation

# Data by affiliation

Official UTK Alcohol Policy Task Force Public Feedback Form

March 6th 2018, 1:42 pm MST

Q2 - What is your affiliation with UTK? (If multiple, please select one that best describes you)




#	Answer	%	Count
1	Undergraduate Student	69.29%	2805
2	Graduate Student	8.40%	340
3	Faculty	5.24%	212
4	Staff	10.50%	425
5	Alumni	5.83%	236
6	Community Member (please share what community group, if any, you represent)	0.52%	21
7	Local Business Owner (please share what business you represent)	0.17%	7
8	Local, State, or Federal government representative (please enter what agency/entity you represent)	0.05%	2
	Total	100%	4048




Q3 - The following questions ask about current UTK Alcohol Policy. Please take a moment to review current policies at this link. Please remember that any changes to UTK alcohol policies will remain in compliance with all federal, state, and local laws.


Undergraduate Student




## Graduate Student




## Faculty




## Staff




# Alumni




### Community Member (please share what community group, if any, you represent)



# Local Business Owner (please share what business you represent)



Local, State, or Federal government representative (please enter what agency/entity you represent)



Undergraduate Student

#	Question	Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat agree	Strongly agree	Total					
1	To what extent do you agree or disagree with current UTK Alcohol	46.44 %	1297	36.05%	1007	6.91%	193	8.06%	225	2.54%	71	2793



	Policy											
2	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should be revised to be more restrictive	78.51%	2195	12.30%	344	3.04%	85	2.29%	64	3.86%	108	2796
3	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should be revised to be less restrictive	2.82%	79	2.14%	60	2.75%	77	19.56%	548	72.72%	2037	2801
4	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should not be changed.	62.42%	1747	20.90%	585	11.04%	309	3.25%	91	2.39%	67	2799

## Graduate Student

#	Question	Strongly disagree		Somewhat disagree		Neither agree nor disagree		Somewhat agree		Strongly agree		Total
1	To what extent do you agree or disagree with current UTK Alcohol Policy	27.22%	92	42.90%	145	9.76%	33	16.27%	55	3.85%	13	338
2	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should be revised to be more restrictive	68.93%	233	17.46%	59	4.44%	15	4.73%	16	4.44%	15	338
3	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should be revised to be less restrictive	5.93%	20	4.75%	16	4.75%	16	33.23%	112	51.34%	173	337
4	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should not be changed.	44.05%	148	29.46%	99	16.67%	56	6.85%	23	2.98%	10	336

## Faculty

#	Question	Strongly disagree		Somewhat disagree		Neither agree nor disagree		Somewhat agree		Strongly agree		Total
1	To what extent do you agree or disagree with current UTK Alcohol Policy	18.96%	40	46.45%	98	9.00%	19	18.96%	40	6.64%	14	211
2	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should be revised to be more restrictive	62.56%	132	22.75%	48	7.11%	15	2.84%	6	4.74%	10	211
3	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should be revised to be less restrictive	9.91%	21	4.25%	9	5.66%	12	42.92%	91	37.26%	79	212
4	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should not be changed.	42.38%	89	26.67%	56	18.10%	38	5.24%	11	7.62%	16	210

## Staff

#	Question	Strongly disagree		Somewhat disagree		Neither agree nor disagree		Somewhat agree		Strongly agree		Total
1	To what extent do you agree or disagree with current UTK Alcohol Policy	12.89%	54	33.89%	142	15.51%	65	27.92%	117	9.79%	41	419
2	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should be revised to be more restrictive	40.33%	169	29.36%	123	10.50%	44	9.07%	38	10.74%	45	419
3	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should be revised to be less restrictive	15.79%	66	8.85%	37	9.81%	41	39.00%	163	26.56%	111	418
4	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should not be changed.	34.38%	143	27.16%	113	24.04%	100	6.49%	27	7.93%	33	416

## Alumni

#	Question	Strongly disagree		Somewhat disagree		Neither agree nor disagree		Somewhat agree		Strongly agree		Total
1	To what extent do you agree or disagree with current UTK Alcohol Policy	45.02%	104	35.50%	82	4.76%	11	11.69%	27	3.03%	7	231
2	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should be revised to be more restrictive	77.16%	179	14.22%	33	3.02%	7	3.02%	7	2.59%	6	232
3	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should be revised to be less restrictive	2.56%	6	1.71%	4	4.27%	10	27.78%	65	63.68%	149	234
4	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should not be changed.	63.09%	147	18.03%	42	10.73%	25	4.29%	10	3.86%	9	233

## Community Member (please share what community group, if any, you represent)

#	Question	Strongly disagree		Somewhat disagree		Neither agree nor disagree		Somewhat agree		Strongly agree		Total
1	To what extent do you agree or disagree with current UTK Alcohol Policy	47.62%	10	28.57%	6	4.76%	1	19.05%	4	0.00%	0	21
2	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should be revised to be more restrictive	66.67%	14	9.52%	2	9.52%	2	14.29%	3	0.00%	0	21
3	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should be revised to be less	4.76%	1	9.52%	2	4.76%	1	28.57%	6	52.38%	11	21

	restrictive											
4	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should not be changed.	66.67 %	14	19.05%	4	9.52%	2	4.76%	1	0.00%	0	21

Local Business Owner (please share what business you represent)


#	Question	Strongly disagree		Somewhat disagree		Neither agree nor disagree		Somewhat agree		Strongly agree		Total
1	To what extent do you agree or disagree with current UTK Alcohol Policy	50.00 %	3	50.00%	3	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	6
2	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should be revised to be more restrictive	57.14 %	4	42.86%	3	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	7
3	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should be revised to be less restrictive	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	42.86%	3	57.14%	4	7
4	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should not be changed.	66.67 %	4	16.67%	1	16.67%	1	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	6

Local, State, or Federal government representative (please enter what agency/entity you represent)

#	Question	Strongly disagree		Somewhat disagree		Neither agree nor disagree		Somewhat agree		Strongly agree		Total
1	To what extent do you agree or disagree with current UTK Alcohol Policy	100.00 %	2	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	2
2	Current UTK Alcohol Policy	100.00 %	2	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	2


	should be revised to be more restrictive											
3	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should be revised to be less restrictive	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	100.00%	2	2
4	Current UTK Alcohol Policy should not be changed.	100.00%	2	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	2

Q6 - To what extent do you support or oppose an events-based policy?



#	Question	Undergraduate Student	Graduate Student	Faculty	Staff	Alumni	Community Member (please share what community group, if any, you represent)	Local Business Owner (please share what business you represent)	Local, State, or Federal government representative (please enter what agency/entity you represent)	Total
1	Strongly Oppose	56.11%	11.31%	5.88%	19.91%	5.43%	0.45%	0.90%	0	221
2	Oppose	64.14%	8.37%	5.58%	17.53%	3.98%	0.40%	0.00%	0	251
3	No Opinion	71.79%	6.41%	4.81%	11.22%	4.81%	0.96%	0.00%	0	312
4	Support	69.00%	7.88%	5.59%	10.65%	6.13%	0.61%	0.07%	1	1484
5	Strongly Support	71.72%	8.89%	4.88%	7.86%	5.97%	0.40%	0.23%	4	1743

**Q7 - To what extent would you support or oppose alcohol being served at the following types of events at UTK under an events-based policy:**



**Undergraduate Student**

#	Question	Strongly Oppose	Oppose	No Opinion	Support	Strongly Support	Total					
1	Faculty/Staff Events	2.11%	59	3.22%	90	15.61%	437	31.73%	888	47.34%	1325	2799
2	Athletics events	3.22%	90	2.79%	78	2.90%	81	20.01%	559	71.07%	1985	2793
3	Concerts	2.07%	58	2.00%	56	2.39%	67	19.87%	556	73.66%	2061	2798



4	Graduate Student Events	1.61%	45	2.01%	56	10.46%	292	26.36%	736	59.56%	1663	2792
5	Student Organization Events	3.40%	95	5.62%	157	10.77%	301	27.67%	773	52.54%	1468	2794
6	Greek Life Events	8.22%	230	4.40%	123	9.33%	261	18.91%	529	59.13%	1654	2797
7	Events where undergraduate students are in attendance	3.26%	91	4.40%	123	10.41%	291	29.66%	829	52.27%	1461	2795
8	Spiritual or religious events	8.07%	226	8.61%	241	32.61%	913	17.75%	497	32.96%	923	2800

## Graduate Student

#	Question	Strongly Oppose		Oppose		No Opinion		Support		Strongly Support		Total
1	Faculty/Staff Events	4.71%	16	4.12%	14	6.18%	21	27.65%	94	57.35%	195	340
2	Athletics events	8.88%	30	8.58%	29	9.17%	31	31.07%	105	42.31%	143	338
3	Concerts	5.31%	18	5.90%	20	10.32%	35	29.79%	101	48.67%	165	339
4	Graduate Student Events	5.01%	17	2.65%	9	4.13%	14	21.83%	74	66.37%	225	339
5	Student Organization Events	8.26%	28	15.34%	52	16.81%	57	31.27%	106	28.32%	96	339
6	Greek Life Events	22.94%	78	19.71%	67	18.82%	64	22.06%	75	16.47%	56	340
7	Events where undergraduate students are in attendance	14.71%	50	19.12%	65	19.71%	67	28.53%	97	17.94%	61	340
8	Spiritual or religious events	9.73%	33	9.44%	32	38.05%	129	22.71%	77	20.06%	68	339

## Faculty

#	Question	Strongly Oppose		Oppose		No Opinion		Support		Strongly Support		Total
1	Faculty/Staff Events	6.16%	13	2.37%	5	1.90%	4	28.44%	60	61.14%	129	211

2	Athletics events	14.69%	31	14.22%	30	12.80%	27	39.81%	84	18.48%	39	211
3	Concerts	9.52%	20	7.62%	16	11.43%	24	41.90%	88	29.52%	62	210
4	Graduate Student Events	7.58%	16	8.06%	17	6.16%	13	43.13%	91	35.07%	74	211
5	Student Organization Events	18.66%	39	22.97%	48	25.36%	53	21.05%	44	11.96%	25	209
6	Greek Life Events	37.32%	78	25.36%	53	17.70%	37	14.35%	30	5.26%	11	209
7	Events where undergraduate students are in attendance	19.91%	42	24.64%	52	21.33%	45	25.12%	53	9.00%	19	211
8	Spiritual or religious events	13.81%	29	7.14%	15	37.62%	79	26.19%	55	15.24%	32	210

## Staff

#	Question	Strongly Oppose		Oppose		No Opinion		Support		Strongly Support		Total
1	Faculty/Staff Events	10.64%	45	6.86%	29	5.20%	22	38.53%	163	38.77%	164	423
2	Athletics events	18.05%	76	12.83%	54	6.18%	26	34.44%	145	28.50%	120	421
3	Concerts	14.22%	60	10.90%	46	6.40%	27	31.99%	135	36.49%	154	422
4	Graduate Student Events	14.22%	60	8.53%	36	14.69%	62	37.91%	160	24.64%	104	422
5	Student Organization Events	23.64%	100	26.48%	112	18.44%	78	21.04%	89	10.40%	44	423
6	Greek Life Events	34.20%	144	22.80%	96	17.10%	72	17.58%	74	8.31%	35	421
7	Events where undergraduate students are in attendance	26.95%	114	22.22%	94	17.49%	74	25.30%	107	8.04%	34	423
8	Spiritual or religious events	21.70%	92	13.21%	56	31.60%	134	22.17%	94	11.32%	48	424

## Alumni

#	Question	Strongly Oppose	Oppose	No Opinion	Support	Strongly Support	Total					
1	Faculty/Staff Events	1.71%	4	1.28%	3	7.26%	17	36.32%	85	53.42%	125	234
2	Athletics events	3.86%	9	4.72%	11	3.00%	7	25.32%	59	63.09%	147	233
3	Concerts	2.59%	6	2.59%	6	4.74%	11	28.45%	66	61.64%	143	232
4	Graduate Student Events	1.29%	3	2.15%	5	6.87%	16	35.19%	82	54.51%	127	233
5	Student Organization Events	2.99%	7	9.40%	22	7.26%	17	39.74%	93	40.60%	95	234
6	Greek Life Events	5.98%	14	8.12%	19	5.56%	13	31.62%	74	48.72%	114	234
7	Events where undergraduate students are in attendance	2.13%	5	7.23%	17	13.19%	31	41.70%	98	35.74%	84	235
8	Spiritual or religious events	7.69%	18	10.68%	25	31.62%	74	24.79%	58	25.21%	59	234

Community Member (please share what community group, if any, you represent)

#	Question	Strongly Oppose	Oppose	No Opinion	Support	Strongly Support	Total					
1	Faculty/Staff Events	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	4.76%	1	47.62%	10	47.62%	10	21
2	Athletics events	4.76%	1	0.00%	0	4.76%	1	38.10%	8	52.38%	11	21
3	Concerts	0.00%	0	10.00%	2	5.00%	1	30.00%	6	55.00%	11	20
4	Graduate Student Events	0.00%	0	9.52%	2	9.52%	2	33.33%	7	47.62%	10	21
5	Student Organization Events	4.76%	1	14.29%	3	14.29%	3	28.57%	6	38.10%	8	21
6	Greek Life Events	14.29%	3	0.00%	0	9.52%	2	28.57%	6	47.62%	10	21
7	Events where undergraduate students are in attendance	4.76%	1	14.29%	3	9.52%	2	38.10%	8	33.33%	7	21
8	Spiritual or religious events	4.76%	1	19.05%	4	19.05%	4	28.57%	6	28.57%	6	21


Local Business Owner (please share what business you represent)

#	Question	Strongly Oppose	Oppose	No Opinion	Support	Strongly Support	Total
1	Faculty/Staff Events	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	71.43% 5	7
2	Athletics events	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	57.14% 4	7
3	Concerts	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	71.43% 5	7
4	Graduate Student Events	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	42.86% 3	7
5	Student Organization Events	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	7
6	Greek Life Events	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	7
7	Events where undergraduate students are in attendance	0.00% 0	42.86% 3	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	7
8	Spiritual or religious events	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	42.86% 3	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	7

Local, State, or Federal government representative (please enter what agency/entity you represent)


#	Question	Strongly Oppose	Oppose	No Opinion	Support	Strongly Support	Total
1	Faculty/Staff Events	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	2
2	Athletics events	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	2
3	Concerts	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	2
4	Graduate Student Events	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	2
5	Student Organization Events	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	2
6	Greek Life Events	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	2
7	Events where undergraduate students are in attendance	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	2
8	Spiritual or religious events	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	50.00% 1	2

# To what extent do you support or oppose a geographic-based policy?



#	Question	Undergraduate Student	Graduate Student	Faculty	Staff	Alumni	Community Member (please share what community group, if any, you represent)	Local Business Owner (please share what business you represent)	Local, State, or Federal government representative (please enter what agency/entity you represent)	Total								
1	Strongly Oppose	46.34%	95	11.71%	24	9.27%	19	25.37%	52	5.85%	12	0.49%	1	0.98%	2	0.00%	0	205
2	Oppose	54.74%	150	10.22%	28	10.58%	29	18.98%	52	5.11%	14	0.36%	1	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	274
3	No opinion	69.49%	385	9.39%	52	5.42%	30	10.47%	58	4.51%	25	0.54%	3	0.18%	1	0.00%	0	554
4	Support	66.53%	962	8.44%	122	5.53%	80	11.96%	173	6.85%	99	0.62%	9	0.07%	1	0.00%	0	1446
5	Strongly Support	78.28%	1171	7.09%	106	3.21%	48	5.28%	79	5.48%	82	0.40%	6	0.13%	2	0.13%	2	1496

**Q11 - In a Geographic-Based Policy, to what extent would you support or oppose alcohol being served at the following types of locations at UTK:**



**Undergraduate Student**

#	Question	Strongly Oppose	Oppose	No Opinion	Support	Strongly Support	Total					
1	Retail dining locations	3.90%	109	6.90%	193	9.33%	261	30.25%	846	49.62%	1388	2797
2	Cafeteria-style dining locations	8.06%	225	18.98%	530	14.76%	412	20.34%	568	37.86%	1057	2792

3	Residence Halls	9.55%	266	18.13%	505	14.22%	396	20.36%	567	37.74%	1051	2785
4	Public Spaces (e.g. Student Union, Circle Park, FIJI Island, Pedestrian Walkway)	5.63%	157	8.10%	226	8.10%	226	25.08%	700	53.10%	1482	2791
5	Performance or Special event venues (e.g. Clarence Brown Theater, Conference Center, meeting spaces)	2.33%	65	2.90%	81	6.56%	183	27.46%	766	60.75%	1695	2790
6	Academic Buildings	13.68%	381	25.84%	720	20.67%	576	11.63%	324	28.18%	785	2786
7	Athletic Facilities	7.08%	198	9.76%	273	12.05%	337	20.67%	578	50.43%	1410	2796

## Graduate Student

#	Question	Strongly Oppose	Oppose	No Opinion	Support	Strongly Support	Total					
1	Retail dining locations	8.08%	27	11.38%	38	12.57%	42	34.43%	115	33.53%	112	334
2	Cafeteria-style dining locations	12.24%	41	24.78%	83	19.10%	64	19.70%	66	24.18%	81	335
3	Residence Halls	24.48%	82	25.07%	84	20.30%	68	14.03%	47	16.12%	54	335
4	Public Spaces (e.g. Student Union, Circle Park, FIJI Island, Pedestrian Walkway)	12.91%	43	15.62%	52	14.71%	49	30.93%	103	25.83%	86	333
5	Performance or Special event venues (e.g. Clarence Brown Theater, Conference Center, meeting spaces)	7.16%	24	3.58%	12	5.67%	19	32.84%	110	50.75%	170	335



6	Academic Buildings	16.67%	56	22.02%	74	21.13%	71	20.54%	69	19.64%	66	336
7	Athletic Facilities	14.24%	48	10.98%	37	19.29%	65	26.41%	89	29.08%	98	337

## Faculty

#	Question	Strongly Oppose		Oppose		No Opinion		Support		Strongly Support		Total
1	Retail dining locations	13.88%	29	7.18%	15	16.75%	35	37.80%	79	24.40%	51	209
2	Cafeteria-style dining locations	18.84%	39	21.74%	45	23.19%	48	21.26%	44	14.98%	31	207
3	Residence Halls	35.10%	73	31.25%	65	18.75%	39	7.21%	15	7.69%	16	208
4	Public Spaces (e.g. Student Union, Circle Park, FIJI Island, Pedestrian Walkway)	23.44%	49	16.75%	35	19.14%	40	27.27%	57	13.40%	28	209
5	Performance or Special event venues (e.g. Clarence Brown Theater, Conference Center, meeting spaces)	6.19%	13	2.86%	6	7.14%	15	41.43%	87	42.38%	89	210
6	Academic Buildings	17.14%	36	16.19%	34	16.67%	35	31.43%	66	18.57%	39	210
7	Athletic Facilities	19.62%	41	13.40%	28	19.14%	40	31.58%	66	16.27%	34	209

## Staff

#	Question	Strongly Oppose		Oppose		No Opinion		Support		Strongly Support		Total
1	Retail dining locations	18.90%	79	18.18%	76	11.72%	49	32.54%	136	18.66%	78	418
2	Cafeteria-style dining locations	23.04%	97	30.64%	129	17.81%	75	18.05%	76	10.45%	44	421

3	Residence Halls	37.29 %	157	31.59%	133	16.86%	71	9.50%	40	4.75%	20	421
4	Public Spaces (e.g. Student Union, Circle Park, FIJI Island, Pedestrian Walkway)	26.25 %	110	23.15%	97	16.95%	71	21.48%	90	12.17%	51	419
5	Performance or Special event venues (e.g. Clarence Brown Theater, Conference Center, meeting spaces)	14.01 %	59	5.94%	25	7.36%	31	38.48%	162	34.20%	144	421
6	Academic Buildings	27.62 %	116	26.19%	110	21.43%	90	16.19%	68	8.57%	36	420
7	Athletic Facilities	22.04 %	93	13.03%	55	10.90%	46	29.38%	124	24.64%	104	422

## Alumni

#	Question	Strongly Oppose	Oppose	No Opinion	Support	Strongly Support	Total					
1	Retail dining locations	4.70%	11	4.27%	10	6.41%	15	45.30%	106	39.32%	92	234
2	Cafeteria-style dining locations	8.73%	20	15.72%	36	20.09%	46	31.88%	73	23.58%	54	229
3	Residence Halls	12.93 %	30	21.98%	51	20.26%	47	23.28%	54	21.55%	50	232
4	Public Spaces (e.g. Student Union, Circle Park, FIJI Island, Pedestrian Walkway)	5.13%	12	8.55%	20	8.12%	19	37.18%	87	41.03%	96	234
5	Performance or Special event venues (e.g. Clarence Brown Theater, Conference Center, meeting spaces)	2.56%	6	0.85%	2	5.13%	12	41.45%	97	50.00%	117	234
6	Academic Buildings	14.22 %	33	25.86%	60	25.86%	60	17.24%	40	16.81%	39	232

7	Athletic Facilities	6.84%	16	5.98%	14	11.11%	26	32.91%	77	43.16%	101	234
---	---------------------	-------	----	-------	----	--------	----	--------	----	--------	-----	-----

Community Member (please share what community group, if any, you represent)

#	Question	Strongly Oppose		Oppose		No Opinion		Support		Strongly Support		Total
1	Retail dining locations	9.52%	2	4.76%	1	4.76%	1	38.10%	8	42.86%	9	21
2	Cafeteria-style dining locations	9.52%	2	28.57%	6	14.29%	3	9.52%	2	38.10%	8	21
3	Residence Halls	14.29%	3	23.81%	5	0.00%	0	19.05%	4	42.86%	9	21
4	Public Spaces (e.g. Student Union, Circle Park, FIJI Island, Pedestrian Walkway)	14.29%	3	14.29%	3	0.00%	0	23.81%	5	47.62%	10	21
5	Performance or Special event venues (e.g. Clarence Brown Theater, Conference Center, meeting spaces)	0.00%	0	9.52%	2	9.52%	2	33.33%	7	47.62%	10	21
6	Academic Buildings	14.29%	3	42.86%	9	14.29%	3	9.52%	2	19.05%	4	21
7	Athletic Facilities	4.76%	1	19.05%	4	14.29%	3	23.81%	5	38.10%	8	21

Local Business Owner (please share what business you represent)

#	Question	Strongly Oppose		Oppose		No Opinion		Support		Strongly Support		Total
1	Retail dining locations	14.29%	1	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	14.29%	1	71.43%	5	7
2	Cafeteria-style dining locations	14.29%	1	14.29%	1	0.00%	0	14.29%	1	57.14%	4	7
3	Residence Halls	14.29%	1	42.86%	3	14.29%	1	14.29%	1	14.29%	1	7
4	Public Spaces (e.g. Student Union, Circle Park, FIJI Island, Pedestrian Walkway)	0.00%	0	14.29%	1	42.86%	3	0.00%	0	42.86%	3	7

5	Performance or Special event venues (e.g. Clarence Brown Theater, Conference Center, meeting spaces)	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	14.29%	1	14.29%	1	71.43%	5	7
6	Academic Buildings	14.29%	1	42.86%	3	28.57%	2	0.00%	0	14.29%	1	7
7	Athletic Facilities	14.29%	1	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	28.57%	2	57.14%	4	7

Local, State, or Federal government representative (please enter what agency/entity you represent)

#	Question	Strongly Oppose	Oppose	No Opinion	Support	Strongly Support	Total
1	Retail dining locations	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	100.00%	2
2	Cafeteria-style dining locations	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	50.00%	2
3	Residence Halls	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	50.00%	2
4	Public Spaces (e.g. Student Union, Circle Park, FIJI Island, Pedestrian Walkway)	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	50.00%	2
5	Performance or Special event venues (e.g. Clarence Brown Theater, Conference Center, meeting spaces)	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	50.00%	2
6	Academic Buildings	0.00%	0	50.00%	1	50.00%	2
7	Athletic Facilities	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	50.00%	2